19 February 2007 Page 1 of 14

QUALITY CONTROL CHECKLISTS

FOR FOUNDATION INSPECTION OF

RESIDENTIAL AND OTHER LOW-RISE BUILDINGS

by The Structural Committee

of

The Foundation Performance Association

www.foundationperformance.org

Houston, Texas

Document # FPA-SC-10-1

ISSUE HISTORY (Initial issue and issues outside the Structural Committee)

Rev#	Date	Description	Subcommittee	Subcommittee
			Chair	Members
А	02 Oct 01	For Committee Comments	Jack Spivey	Ron Kelm
I	10 Jul 03	For FPA Peer Review		Jon Monteith
0	09 Oct 03	For FPA Web Site Publishing	-	Michael Skoller
				Terry Taylor
				Mari Mes
				Mike Palmer
				Lowell Brumley
				George Wozny
				Dan Jaggers
				Toshi Nobe
0A	18 May 05	For Committee Comments	Jack Spivey	Michael Skoller
0K	6 Nov 06	For FPA Peer Review		Ron Kelm
1	19 Feb 07	For FPA Web Site Publishing		Mari Mes
				Dan Jaggers
				Jon Monteith
				Dick Peverley

PREFACE

This document was written by the Structural Committee and was first peer reviewed by the Foundation Performance Association (FPA) and published as Revision 0 on 9 October 2003. After obtaining additional feedback, it has been updated by the Structural Committee to Revision 1 and has again been peer reviewed. This document is made freely available to the public at <u>www.foundationperformance.org</u> so all may have access to the information. To ensure this document remains as current as possible, it may be periodically updated under the same document number but with higher revision numbers such at 2, 3, etc.

The Structural Committee is a permanent committee of the Foundation Performance Association. At the time of writing this document, Ron Kelm, P.E., chaired the Structural Committee of 25 to 30 active members. The committee sanctioned this paper and formed a subcommittee to write the document. The subcommittee chair and members are listed on the cover sheet of this document.

Suggestions for improvement of this document shall be directed to the current chair of the Structural Committee. If sufficient comments are received to warrant a revision, the committee will form a new subcommittee to revise this document. If the revised document successfully passes FPA peer review, it will be published on the FPA website and the previous revision will be deleted.

The intended audiences for the use of this document are field inspectors, builders, builders' superintendents, municipal inspectors, or anyone with an interest in quality construction or repair of foundations.

This document was created with generously donated time in an effort to improve the performance of foundations. The Foundation Performance Association and its members make no warranty, expressed or implied, regarding the accuracy of the information contained herein and will not be liable for any damages including but not limited to consequential damages resulting from the use of this document. Each project should be investigated for its individual characteristics to permit appropriate application of the material contained herein.

INTRODUCTION

The following checklist documents are related to two years of work completed in the late nineteen nineties by the Inspections Subcommittee of the Foundation Performance Committee (the name was since changed to Foundation Performance Association, or FPA). Jack Spivey chaired that original committee and his fellow members were:

MR. MICHAEL SKOLLER P.E. MR. JOE EDWARDS MR. LOWELL BRUMLEY P.E. MR. DEAN EICHELBERGER

Meetings took place on a monthly basis and were attended by many interested parties. Special recognition should be given to Mr. Jim Dutton of Du-West Foundation Repair and Mr. Dan Jaggers of Olshan Foundation Repair. Their assistance with the foundation repair sections was invaluable. The topics for discussion were established at the onset of the meetings with the general intent to establish a set of guidelines and procedures for the inspection of foundation construction and foundation repairs incorporated into an easy to use inspection document. It was established that the best form for our purposes would be a simple checklist, which would fully cover the subject of the inspection. It was also determined that keeping each checklist to one page would afford the most user-friendly instrument for our purposes.

The original documents were presented in a Foundation Performance Committee seminar in 1998. Subsequently the documents were revised, peer reviewed, and published as Document No. FPA-SC-10 Revision 0, dated October 9, 2003, which included Checklist #'s 1-7. This Revision 1 is the result of changes and additions that were begun in February of 2005. The additions in Revision 1 are Checklist #'s 8 and 9.

The subjects of the checklists are presented in the following order:

QC Checklist #1 - POST-TENSION SYSTEM FOUNDATION MAKE-UP

- QC Checklist #2 CONCRETE PLACEMENT
- QC Checklist #3 POST-TENSION STRESSING
- QC Checklist #4 CONVENTIONAL (REBAR) FOUNDATION MAKE-UP
- QC Checklist #5 CONSTRUCTION (BUILDER'S) PIERS
- QC Checklist #6 REPAIR PIERS
- QC Checklist #7 SEGMENTED REPAIR PILES
- QC Checklist #8 PRE-CONSTRUCTION SITE REVIEW
- QC Checklist #9 POST-CONSTRUCTION SITE REVIEW

These topics were judged to represent the major types of foundation construction and foundation repairs found in the Houston area. While these topics are certainly not inclusive of every inspection situation or construction method in use, they offer a basic set of guidelines for the majority of inspections that would be encountered in typical residential construction.

The first order of business for the subcommittee was to establish a checklist heading format for each inspection. The uppermost portion of the checklist is meant to establish a context for the inspection. The basics of the site such as, the builder, subdivision, address, lot and block, are set out at the top of the

checklist. The next section is meant to establish the parameters that will govern the rest of the inspection. The most important of these deals with the plans. It was the opinion of the subcommittee that no inspection should be undertaken without a set of plans, and the plans should include the name of the engineer, the date of the plans and the detail sheet. Other pertinent details of the site that are covered in this section are the date, the time, the weather, etc. These guidelines were followed on each checklist, with variations dictated by the context of the inspection.

Once the context is established in the heading, the checklist moves on to sections relating to different aspects of each inspection. In general, these sections are documented by simply checking the item to show that it has been correctly completed. The checkmark () serves to show that the item has been considered and complies with the plans, whereas an (X) denotes that the item does not comply with the plans. In some sections, direct questions are asked that should be answered. Finally, the lower sections of the checklists generally have reference to a drawing of the slab, the piers or piles, or the foundation being repaired. The drawings further document the conclusion of the inspection.

Each of these checklists represents an attempt to document the events related to a specific foundation project or a specific foundation repair. It should be remembered that all the answers and data reported are typically the only documentation of what actually happened during this phase of construction. For this reason, every item is pertinent and should be given careful consideration during the inspection. Though many of the items listed are fairly common knowledge to the typical inspector or builder, it is the sequencing and nuances of certain questions and items listed, which are the greatest advantage of using the checklists.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QC Checklist #1 – POST-TENSION SYSTEM FOUNDATION MAKE-UP

QC Checklist #2 – CONCRETE PLACEMENT

QC Checklist #3 – POST-TENSION STRESSING

QC Checklist #4 – CONVENTIONAL (REBAR) FOUNDATION MAKE-UP

QC Checklist #5 – CONSTRUCTION (BUILDER'S) PIERS

QC Checklist #6 – REPAIR PIERS

QC Checklist #7 – SEGMENTED REPAIR PILES

QC Checklist #8 – PRE-CONSTRUCTION SITE REVIEW

QC Checklist #9 – POST-CONSTRUCTION SITE REVIEW

CLIENT

QUALITY CONTROL COMPANY

QC Checklist #1 - POST	-TENSION SYS	STEM FOUND	ATION MAKE-UP
Builder	Subdivision		DateTime Plan site specific Yes □ No □
Site Address	LotE	BlkSec	Plan site specific Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Plan #:Cable Count	Design Engineer		Superintendent Detail Sheet Date e Yes [] No [] Permit #:
Plan provided at site Yes \square No \square	Plan Date		Detail Sheet Date
Concrete Contractor	Placement Date	Detached Garag	e Yes \square No \square Permit #:
Weather: Previous 48 Hrs.		Current	
		Comply With The Pla t Comply With The Pla	
SITE		Forms	ADDITIONAL REVIEWS
Subdivision Lot Other		Forms secure	Date Time
Lot Description Fill on site Yes 🗌 No 🗍		Floats installed	
Fill on site Yes 🗌 No 🗌		Proper clearance	at floats
Compaction verified by Geotechnical E	ingineer:	Garage front clos	sed
Yes No Will foundation make up drain: Yes	Date		
Trees removed			
Are trees within 20' of foundation Yes	□ No □		
SLAB		TENDONS	
☐ Thickness(in) ☐ Measured: Screeds String lin ☐ Describe Pad Material ☐ Level and Firm Yes ☐ No ☐		Count: L to R	_ F to BGarage eExplain left on siteRebar
Measured: Screeds String lin	e Other	Total Variance	eExplain
Describe Pad Material		Number of tendons	left on site Rebar
Level and Firm Yes No		1/2'' tendons	Other d'-0"
Beams		20D nails used at	ed over 6'-0"
DEAMS Design Denth: (in) Exterior	Interior	L ive ends strippe	d of plastic not over 1" or taped
Design Depth: (in) Exterior Actual Depth: (in) Design Width: (in) Actual Width: (in) Average depth into undisturbed soil (in)	(in) (in)	Cathead clamps	all tight
Design Width: (in)	/ <u>(</u>)	All intersections	tied
Actual Width: (in) (in))(in)(in)	All tendons supp	orted at intersections 3/4" clearance to forms
Average depth into undisturbed soil	(in)	Dead ends have	3/4" clearance to forms
Clean of soil & debris		All S Hooks crin	nped
Water in beams Yes No Av	erage Depth(in)	Beam tendons draped	and secured by #3 rebar stakes or concrete bricks
Will water drain Yes 🗍 No 🗍	,	Ample chairs all	tied
Plumbing obstructions accommodate	ed	Tandan arid agaur	ad for concrete placement Vec 🗆 Ne 🗔
Pier tops clean		rendon grid secur	ed for concrete placement Yes 🗌 No 🗌
POLYETHYLENE SHEETING			
☐ 6-mil Lapped and Taped ☐ Seated	in bottom of beams	Secured at sides	Mastic/tape applied at plumbing
REINFORCING STEEL			
<u>SLAB SECTION</u> WWF:(Mash) Size Poll	Sheet O	D 🗆 #3 or # Debar	(in) on center both ways
WWF:(Mesh) Size Roll Lapped per plans No rebar or	r WWF (mesh) touching	$\mathbf{R} \square \# 5 \text{ OI } \# _ \text{Reball}$	by chairs per plans
	www (mesh) touening		i by chans per plans
<u>BEAM SECTION</u>	Sider 🗖 Dettem 🗖 7	· · · · □	
Splices langed per plan		ор	
Corner rebar installed at corners & c	lead ends		
Typical Rebar/Exterior Beams	(continuous	
Typical Rebar/Interior Beams		continuous	
BEAM SECTION Rebar: grade Clearances per plan Splices lapped per plan Corner rebar installed at corners & c Typical Rebar/Exterior Beams Corner bars installed at dead ends Yes Bay Windows or Porches R	No 🗌		
	ebar Stirrups	. <u></u>	
Extra Rebar Added Diagonal Rebar at Re-entrant Corners			
Diagonal Kebar at Ke-entrant Corners	NO. OF Corners		
Nose Bars @ Co Anchor bolts on site Yes No Dia	ameter (in) Len	oth (in)	
Other Fasteners		6ui (iii)	
			_
IS FOUNDATION READY FOR	CONCRETE PLACE	MENT? Yes 🗌 N	o 🛄
		_	 Sкетсн

CHANGES NEEDED:

CLIENT

QUALITY CONTROL COMPANY

Builder					
Builder Site Address Design Engineer Copy of Foundation Makeup Concrete Contractor	Su Report Provided De	iperintendent_ I Yes □ No □ etached Garage] Date of Copy e Yes □ No □	Q.C. Arriva y Items Repa] Permit #:	Time Cable Count al Time Departure Time ired Yes □ No □
	Ch (X)	eck (✓) If Iter) If Items Do I	ms Comply Wi Not Comply Wi	th The Plans ith The Plans	
SITE Subdivision Lot Other Lot Description Are there obstructions at the s prevent access for concrete Explain	site that would trucks Yes □			FORMS Forms secure Floats installed Proper clearance at Garage closed in	
WEATHER Weather conditions: Prior 48 Will temperature be greater th Will temperature be greater th Forty-eight hour forecast: HI	nan 40° F for fiv nan 32° F for 48	e hours follow hours followir	ing concrete plang concrete pla	lacementYesNocementYesNo	
Concrete Company Delivered by truck over what Mix: psi Sack Mix: 4 ½ 5 Additives: Fly Ash: Type C? Yes No Slump as ordered from plant	Distance Pump prin Other O	Was a put mer dumped of R Strength	mp used Yes∟ utside of forms Mix Yes □ No	□ No □ Pump Co 5 Yes □ No □ 5 Strength	
Explain (Discrepancies if slur	mp is different):		r 🗔		
Explain (Discrepancies if slur Was concrete consolidated by Fest Cylinders Taken Yes	mp is different): vibrator Yes	No Other	ompany		
Explain (Discrepancies if slur Vas concrete consolidated by Vest Cylinders Taken Yes lump Test Taken Yes f water is added at the jobsite Time Poured Gallons	mp is different): vibrator Yes No No e, show the amore Placement	No ☐ Other Testing C Testing C unts over ten g Est. Te	ompany ompany	e a visual estimate of th Draw a diagra locations	
Explain (Discrepancies if slur Was concrete consolidated by Fest Cylinders Taken Yes Slump Test Taken Yes f water is added at the jobsite Poured Gallons Fruck # Out Added	mp is different): vibrator Yes No No e, show the amore Placement Location 	No □ Other Testing C Testing C unts over ten g Est. Te Slump Sh 	Company Company allons and give ested ump Temp 	e a visual estimate of th Draw a diagr <i>locations</i>	e final slump am of the slab below showing the
Explain (Discrepancies if slur Was concrete consolidated by Fest Cylinders Taken Yes Slump Test Taken Yes If water is added at the jobsite Time	np is different): vibrator Yes No No e, show the amount of the am	No □ Other Testing C Testing C unts over ten g Est. Te Slump Sh □ □ □ □	company company allons and give ested Temp ength	e a visual estimate of th Draw a diagr <i>locations</i>	e final slump am of the slab below showing the

CLIENT

QUALITY CONTROL COMPANY

QC Checklist #3 – POS	I-IENSIUN SIR		
Builder	Subdivision LotBlk		DateTime
Site Address	Lot Blk	Sec	Plan site specific Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Plan #:Cable Count Plan provided at site Yes [] No [] Concrete Placement Date	Design Engineer		Superintendent Detail Sheet Date
Plan provided at site Yes \square No \square	Weather	Plan Date	Detail Sheet Date
Concrete Placement Date	Stress Date		Partial Stress Date
Post-Tension Company			Permit #:
	Check (✔) If Items ((X) If Items Do Not (Comply With The Pla Comply With The Pl	ans ans
Are there any cracks in the surface	of the slab Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Describe	ADDITIONAL REVIEWS
Are tendons stressed from two ends	between the mark and the position Yes \square No \square on the gripper end of all te S Yes \square No \square If So. Ho	e edge of the slab endons Yes 🗌 No 🗌 w Many] (If no, show location on sketch below)
If on site during stressing, was stres	ssing load recorded? Yes		tach pressure readings
If on site during stressing, was stres 1/2'' Diameter Ten Measure (Min/Max Range Reco	don Elongation ments		tach pressure readings
If on site during stressing, was stres	don Elongation ments ommended by PTI)	Maximum Theoretical Minimum	tach pressure readings
If on site during stressing, was stres	don Elongation ments ommended by PTI)	Maximum Theoretical	tach pressure readings
If on site during stressing, was stres	don Elongation ments ommended by PTI)	Maximum Theoretical	lach pressure readings
If on site during stressing, was stres	don Elongation ments ommended by PTI)	Maximum Theoretical	tach pressure readings
If on site during stressing, was stres	idon Elongation ments ommended by PTI)	Maximum Theoretical Minimum	lach pressure readings
If on site during stressing, was stres	don Elongation ments ommended by PTI)	Maximum Theoretical Minimum	tach pressure readings

TENDON LENGTH IN FEET BY 0.08 TO CALCULATE APPROXIMATE ELONGATION IN INCHES FOR LENGTH OVER 30 FEET.

SKETCH

Draw a simple sketch of the foundation configuration noting all tendon locations and their elongation measurements. Also note any problems which you have observed, particularly blowouts at corners or the garage entry and cracks.

FOLLOWING STRESS VERIFICATION:

- \Box Are the tendon ends cut inside the pocket former
- After stressing are the nails cut
- Are the tendon ends grouted with a non-shrink grout

\sim			
I 'I		•	
~	_		

QUALITY CONTROL COMPANY

			ATION MAKE-UP
Builder	Subdivision	DII 7	DateTime Plan site specific Yes 🗌 No 🗌
Site Address	Lot	_BlkSec	$\underline{\qquad} Plan site specific Yes \square No \square$
Plan #: Design En	gineer	Superintender	Than site specific res ☐ No ☐ Detail Sheet Date Yes ☐ No ☐ Permit #:
	Plan Date Placement Da	ate Detached Garage	$\underline{\qquad} Detall Sheet Date \underline{\qquad} \\ Ves \Box No \Box Permit #:$
Weather: Previous 48 Hrs		Current	
		Items Comply With The Plan To Not Comply With The Plan	
SITE		Forms	ADDITIONAL REVIEWS
Subdivision Lot Other		_ Forms secure	DateTime
Lot Description Fill on site Yes No Compaction verified by Geotechnical H		Forms secure Floats installed Proper clearance a Garage front close	t floats
Compaction verified by Geotechnical I	Engineer:	Garage front close	d
Will make up drain: Yes \square No \square Da	.te <u> </u>	-	
Will make up drain: Yes \square No \square			
Trees removed Are trees within 20' of foundation Yes		_	
		_	
SLAB		BEAMS	(in) Extension Interior
Thickness(in) Measured: Screeds Stringlin	e Other	Actual Depth:	(in) Extends (in) (in) (in)
Describe Pad Material No	<u> </u>	Design Width:	(in) Exterior Interior (in) (in) (in) (in) (in) (in) (in) (in) (in) 0 undisturbed soil (in) (in)
Level and Firm Yes 🗌 No 🗌		Actual Width:	(in) (in) (in) (in)
		Clean of loose soi	o undisturbed soil (in)
		Water in beams	Yes \square No \square Average Depth (in)
		Will water drain	Yes No Average Depth (in) Yes No
		Plumbing obstruct	Yes _ No _ ions accommodated es _ No _
POLYETHYLENE SHEETING		Pier tops clean Y	
□ 6-mil.Lapped and Taped □ Seate	ed in the bottom o	f beams secured at sides] Mastic/tape applied at plumbing
CONSTRUCTION DESC			
LONSTDUCTION DIEDS			
CONSTRUCTION PIERS Number of piers Are pier to	ops clean of debris	s Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Number of piers Are pier to	ops clean of debris	s Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Number of piers Are pier to	ops clean of debris	s Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS	-		
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS	-		ze Spacing(in)
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS	-		ze Spacing(in) ze Spacing(in)
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS	-		ze Spacing(in) ze Spacing(in) ort System
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS	-		ze Spacing(in) ze Spacing(in) ort System
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS Exterior Beams: Steel size Interior Beams: Steel size Extra Beam depth Yes Proper Clearance: Bottom Continuity: Splices lapped per plan Ye Rebar clean of mud and excessive rust	umber top umber top ditional steel requ ı) Sides cs No Co Ves No Co	Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz lired (in) Top(in) Suppo orner bars installed Yes No	ze Spacing(in) ze Spacing(in) ort System o
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS Exterior Beams: Steel size Nu Interior Beams: Steel size Extra Beam depth Yes No Ad Proper Clearance: Bottom Continuity: Splices lapped per plan Ye Rebar clean of mud and excessive rust	umber top umber top ditional steel requ ı) Sides cs No Co Ves No Co	Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz lired (in) Top(in) Suppo orner bars installed Yes No	ze Spacing(in) ze Spacing(in) ort System o
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS Exterior Beams: Steel size Nu Interior Beams: Steel size Nu Extra Beam depth Yes No Ad Proper Clearance: Bottom (ir Continuity: Splices lapped per plan Ye Rebar clean of mud and excessive rust Void Forms in bottom of beam Yes SLAP DETMEORCING	umber top umber top ditional steel requ) Sides sc □ No □ Co Yes □ No □ No □ Height	_ Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz ired _(in) Top(in) Suppo orner bars installed Yes □ No (in) Condition	
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS Exterior Beams: Steel size Nu Interior Beams: Steel size Nu Extra Beam depth Yes No Ad Proper Clearance: Bottom (ir Continuity: Splices lapped per plan Ye Rebar clean of mud and excessive rust Void Forms in bottom of beam Yes SLAP DETMEORCING	umber top umber top ditional steel requ) Sides sc □ No □ Co Yes □ No □ No □ Height	_ Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz ired _(in) Top(in) Suppo orner bars installed Yes □ No (in) Condition	
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS Exterior Beams: Steel size Nu Extra Beam depth Yes No Ad Proper Clearance: Bottom (ir Continuity: Splices lapped per plan Ye Kebar clean of mud and excessive rust Void Forms in bottom of beam Yes SLAB REINFORCING WWF:(Mesh) Size Roll Lapped per plans No rebas	umber top umber top ditional steel requ) Sides ss □ No □ Co Yes □ No □ No □ Height Sheet ar or WWF (mesh	_ Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz ired _(in) Top(in) Suppor orner bars installed Yes □ No (in) Condition (in) Condition OR □ #3 or #Rebar () touching forms □ Suppor	
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS Exterior Beams: Steel size Interior Beams: Steel size Extra Beam depth Yes Proper Clearance: Bottom Continuity: Splices lapped per plan Yes Rebar clean of mud and excessive rust Void Forms in bottom of beam Yes Void Forms in bottom of beam Yes SLAB REINFORCING	umber top umber top ditional steel requ) Sides ss □ No □ Co Yes □ No □ No □ Height Sheet ar or WWF (mesh	_ Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz ired _(in) Top(in) Suppor orner bars installed Yes □ No (in) Condition (in) Condition OR □ #3 or #Rebar () touching forms □ Suppor	
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS	umber top umber top ditional steel requ) Sides States Yes No Co Yes No No Height Sheet ar or WWF (mesh _(in) Poly covering	_ Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz lired (in) Top(in) Suppor prner bars installed Yes □ No (in) Condition (in) Condition OR □ #3 or #Rebar () touching forms □ Suppor g void forms Yes □ No □	
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS	umber top umber top ditional steel requ) Sides States Yes No Co Yes No No Height Sheet ar or WWF (mesh _(in) Poly covering	_ Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz lired (in) Top(in) Suppor prner bars installed Yes □ No (in) Condition (in) Condition OR □ #3 or #Rebar () touching forms □ Suppor g void forms Yes □ No □	
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL	imber top imber top ditional steel requ) Sides ss No Co Yes No Yes No No Height Sheet ar or WWF (mesh Number in Placemen	_ Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz lired (in) Top (in) Support orner bars installed Yes □ No (in) Condition (in) Support (in) Condition (in) Condition (i	
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS Exterior Beams: Steel size Nu Interior Beams: Steel size Nu Extra Beam depth Yes No Add Proper Clearance: Bottom (ir Continuity: Splices lapped per plan Yes Rebar clean of mud and excessive rust Void Forms in bottom of beam Yes S SLAB REINFORCING WWF:(Mesh) Size Roll WWF:(Mesh) Size No Height Diagonals: Size Size of steel Bay windows: Size of steel Bay windows: Size of steel	umber top umber top ditional steel requ) Sides s No Co Yes No No Height Sheet Sheet Number in Placemen Placemen Control jo	_ Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz lired _(in) Top(in) Support orner bars installed Yes □ No (in) Condition (in) Condition 	
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS Exterior Beams: Steel size Nu Interior Beams: Steel size Nu Extra Beam depth Yes No Add Proper Clearance: Bottom (in Continuity: Splices lapped per plan Yes Rebar clean of mud and excessive rust Void Forms in bottom of beam Yes S SLAB REINFORCING WWF:(Mesh) Size Roll WWF:(Mesh) Size No Height Diagonals: Size Size of steel Bay windows: Size of steel Bay windows: Size of steel Other projections: Size of steel Size	umber top umber top ditional steel requ) Sides s No Co Yes No No Height Sheet Sheet Number in Placemen Placemen Control jo	_ Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz lired _(in) Top(in) Support orner bars installed Yes □ No (in) Condition (in) Condition 	
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS Exterior Beams: Steel size Interior Beams: Steel size Extra Beam depth Yes No Extra Beam depth Yes No Forper Clearance: Bottom	Imber top Imber top ditional steel requ I) Sides es No Co Yes No No No Height Sheet ar or WWF (mesh Number in Placemen Placemen Control jo Immeter (in	_ Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz lired _(in) Top(in) Support orner bars installed Yes □ No (in) Condition (in) Condition 	
CONSTRUCTION PIERS Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS Exterior Beams: Steel size Nu Interior Beams: Steel size Nu Extra Beam depth Yes No Ad Proper Clearance: Bottom (ir Continuity: Splices lapped per plan Yes Ad Void Forms in bottom of beam Yes SLAB REINFORCING WWF:(Mesh) Size Roll Lapped per plans No reba Void Forms Yes No Height	imber top imber top ditional steel requ) Sides ss □ No □ Co Yes □ No □ No □ Height Sheet ar or WWF (mesh Placemen Placemen Control jo ameter (in	Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz ired (in) Top (in) Suppor orner bars installed Yes D No (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Suppor g void forms Yes D No D n slab t bints (in) Length (in)	
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS Exterior Beams: Steel size Interior Beams: Steel size Extra Beam depth Yes Proper Clearance: Bottom	imber top imber top ditional steel requ) Sides ss □ No □ Co Yes □ No □ No □ Height Sheet ar or WWF (mesh Placemen Placemen Control jo ameter (in	Bottom Stirrup siz Bottom Stirrup siz ired (in) Top (in) Suppor orner bars installed Yes D No (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Condition (in) Suppor g void forms Yes D No D n slab t bints (in) Length (in)	(in.) on center both ways ted by chairs per plans
Number of piers Are pier to REINFORCING STEEL Grade of Steel Grade of Steel BEAM SECTIONS	imber top imber top ditional steel requ) Sides s No Co Yes No No Height Sheet ar or WWF (mesh Number in Placemen Placemen Control jo ameter (in	BottomStirrup siz BottomStirrup siz lired (in) Top(in) Support orner bars installed Yes [] No (in) Condition (in) Condition	(in.) on center both ways ted by chairs per plans

CLIENT QUALITY CONTROL COMPANY								
QC Che	cklist #5	– CONST	RUCT	ION (B	UILDE	R'S) PIE	RS	
Builder Site Address Plan #: Design Engineer Plan provided at site Yes No Weather at site			Super Plan I Conc	SubdivisionDateTime LotBlkSecPlan site specific Yes □ No □ SuperintendentGeotechnical Engineer Plan DateDetail Sheet Date Concrete ContractorGeotechnical Report # DRM NOT APPLICABLE FOR SLURRY PLACED PIERS)				
SITE Subdivision Fill on site Y Compaction Trees remove Are trees with	LotOth es □ No □ verified by Gee ed Yes □ No [hin 20' of four		(X) If Iter	ns Do Not	Comply Wi	th The Plans th The Plans		DNAL REVIEWS Time
PIERS Name of drill Can drill equ Type of drill Total numbe	ling company: ipment access ing apparatus: r of piers:	all pier locatio Truck Mounted	ns Yes 🗌 l	No 🗌	Bobca	.t:		Other:
Shaft (in) (in) (in) (in)	Bell (in) (in) (in) (in) (in)	Pier Depth (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft)		Rebar Size	Piers	(in) (in) (in) (in)		SKETCH TYPICAL PIE SHOWING DEPTH
Boring logs f	manner of mea from Geotechn ring strata:	ical report on s	ite Yes	No		rg tool required	1)	
Pocket Penet Was water at	rometer readin	g taken from a hole Y es \Box N	uger cuttin	ng Yes 🗌 Ì	No 🗌	TSF N	ote locatio	ns below
REINFORCIN Rebar placed	IG per plan Yes [□No□]No 🗌 Describe
CONCRETE Will concrete Concrete cor Was pump tr Specified stru- Was concrete Estimated tir	e truck be able npany: uck used Ye ength of concre e placed on the ne of completion:	to access site Tr es \[No \[te:	Yes 🗌 N ruck numb _ psi ne pier dril	lo bers: lling Yes] No 🗌	() 5.00		
Draw a sketc	ch of the structi	ure indicating i	the pier pl	acement ==	=====>			

ARE THE PIER HOLES READY FOR CONCRETE PLACEMENT Yes D No

CHANGES NEEDED:

SKETCH

CLIENT_

QUALITY CONTROL COMPANY

QC Che	ecklist #6	– REPA	IR PIEI	RS					
OwnerSite Address Plan #:Design Engineer Plan provided at site Yes \No \ Weather at site			LotBlkSec Superintendent			ecPl G	DateTime Plan site specific Yes □ No □ Geotechnical Engineer Detail Sheet Date Geotechnical Report #		
SITE Subdivision Soils Repor Test hole dr Undergroun Site obstruc Trees/shrub	Lot Oth t on site Yes illed to what do d plumbing tes tions to drilling s removed or re	ner] No 🗌 Bear epth s, Describe: elocated Yes [(X) If Ite	ems Do Not	t Comply W	ith The Plans ith The Plans (ft) es \[] No \[] W	Additio Date /ere builder	NAL REVIEWS _ Time 's piers present Yes □ No □	
UNDERPIN Name of rep Method of r Total numbe	NING Dair contractor: epair: er of piers:	Interior	Ext	erior					
Shaft (in) (in) (in) (in)	Bell Dia. (in) (in) (in) (in) (in)	Pier Depth (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft) (ft)	Rebar	Size		(in) (in) (in)		Sketch Typical Pier Showing Depth	
Describe be Pocket Pene Was water a REINFORCI Rebar per p	etrometer readin apparent in pier	ng Yes 🗌 No hole Yes 🗍 Ì							
CONCRETE Will concre Concrete co Specified st	te truck be able mpany: rength of concr	e to access site	Yes []] Truck n psi Slu	No umbers: ump as deliv	Was pum	p truck used Batcl Water ac	Yes □ N	er Log Onsite Yes 🗌 No 🗌 naft Diameter o 🗌 Onsite Time] No 🗌 Amount (gal)	
Projected tii If not, expla ESTIMATE VOIDS TO	te placed on the me of completi in: ED MAXIMUN BE GROUTEI	on of concrete <u>A LIFT</u> D (MUD JAC	e placemen (in) KED) Yes	t					
	ER HOLES REA							Sketch	

CLIENT

QUALITY CONTROL COMPANY

QC Checklist #7	- SEGM	ENTED R	EPAIR P	ILES			
Builder		Subdi	ivision		Date	Tim	e
Site Address Plan #:Design E		Lot	Blk	Se	c Plan site-	specific Yes □	No 🗆
Plan #: Design E	Ingineer	Super	intendent		Geotechn	ical Engineer	
Plan provided at site Yes] No □	Plan l	Date		Detail Sh	eet Date	
Plan provided at site Yes Weather at site		Perm	it #		Geotechn	eet Date ical Report #	
			Ttems Compl				
			Do Not Compl				
SITE			-		ADDITIO	NAL REVIEWS	
Subdivision Lot Oth Soils Report on site Yes	ier	Explain_			Date	Time	
Solis Report on site Yes	no Bearn	ig Solis at what (ff) E	at depth	ł	-(ft)		
Test hole drilled to what de Underground plumbing tes	f Yes \Box No \Box	Water lin	es under slab	$\frac{1}{\text{Yes} \Box N_0}$	$\frac{10}{2}$		
						's piers present '	Yes 🗌 No 🗍
Site obstructions to drilling Trees/shrubs removed or re	elocated Yes	No 🗌 Locat	ion(s)			1 1	
UNDERPINNING Name of repair contractor:							
Piling system							
Piling system: Total number of piles:	Interior	Exterior					
			IELD OBSEH	RVATIONS	5		
					(E)		
					Distance From		Observed
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	Top of Slab	Total Depth	Measuremen
Pile Size	Segment	Number of	Pìle Cap	Pile Ćap	To Top of	From Top	of Lift
Round Square	Length	Segments	Size	Ouantity	Pile Cap	of Slab	at Refusal
(in)(in)	(in)					(ft)	(in)
$\underline{(in)} \underline{(in)}$	(in) (in)					(ft)	$\frac{(1n)}{(in)}$
(in) (in)	$\underline{\qquad}$ (in)					(ft)	(in)
(in) (in)	(in)					(ft)	(in)
(in) (in) (in)	(in)					(ft)	(in)
	(A)	\overline{B} + ($\overline{C} \times D$)	+ E = TOT	AL DEPT	'H	(11)	(m)
Total number of pilings ob	served driven t	o completion	(Mi	nimum five	is recommended)		
Was pile log available at th	e site Yes □ N	lo∏ ExpĪ	ain				
Were the piles shimmed im	mediately upo	n completion of	of being driver	n Yes 🗌 No	\square		
If no explain	2 1	1	e				
Is the piling cap horizontal	Yes No	If no, explain					
Were the piles driven with	out interruption	Yes No	If no, explai	in			
Were builders piers detach	ed prior to jack	ing Yes 🗌 No					
Were final shims determine	ed to be tight Y	les No	_				
What is the method of inter							
Were interior piles installed							
Was dewatering system use	ed and maintain	ned in excavat	ing and tunnel	s Yes 🗌 No	o 🗌		
Describe materials used in	backfilling tun	nels					
Describe method of protect	ting tunnel entr	ance from wat	er intrusion				
Was jetting required to inst			ın				
ESTIMATED MAXIMUN		$\underline{(in)}$					
VOIDS TO BE GROUTEI	J (MUD JACK	$(ED) Y es \square N$	0				

Draw a sketch of the structure indicating the pier placement ====>

CHANGES NEEDED:

SKETCH

\sim	- T I		-
		N	
~	_		

QUALITY CONTROL COMPANY_

Builder Subdivision Date Site Address Lot Blk Sec Plan site specific Yes \[] No \[] Architectural Plan # Date Architect/Designer Phone Number Site Survey Date Surveyor Phone Number Coastachnical Benert # Data Coastachnical Engineer Phone Number Surveyor Phone Number
Site Address Lot Blk Sec Plan site specific Yes No Architectural Plan #
Architectural Plan #
Site SurveyDateSurveyor Phone Number
Castachnical Danart # Data Castachnical Engineer Dhana Number
Geotechnical Report #DateGeotechnical Engineer Phone Number
Foundation Plan #DateDesign Engineer Phone Number
Superintendent Superintendent Phone Number
Plan provided at site Yes No Permit #
Check (✓) If Items Comply With The Plans (X) If Items Do Not Comply With The Plans SITE DESCRIPTION:
Appress Doos the site have an address or logal only Vas D No D Is it posted ensite Vas D No D Where
GOVERNING AUTHORITY Municipality SUBDIVISION LOT Center lot Cul de sac Corner lot Zero lot line Other ACREAGE LOT Describe size and characteristics of the site
LOT USAGE Single Family Residence Townhouse Multi-Family Other
ITTLITTEE Electricity Water Cos Dorte Con Server Municipal Service
Fencing Type Will it be removed or altered
Lot Access Paved street \square All weather road \square Other \square
Fencing Type
Compaction Testing Co Will pad fill extend a minimum of five feet beyond house footprint Yes 🗌 No
TREE INVENTORY:
TREES ONSITE Do trees presently exist on site Yes Do Describe
Do trees exist within thirty feet of the foundation Yes No Describe
What is the history of the trees on the site in the nast five years
What is the history of the trees on the site in the past five years Are aerial photos available Yes No
TREES OVER 4" DIAMETER WITHIN 30' OF SLAB Number Species
Trunk Diameter Pamova Demoin D
Trunk Diameter Remove Remain Are trees marked for removal Yes No Per the Geotechnical Report describe the method of dealing with tree excavations
and organic material
Has the geotechnical criteria been fulfilled Yes No If no what should be done
DEMOLITION AND SITE CLEARANCE
Have significant structures been removed from the site Yes No Describe
Did these structures have foundations or piers Yes No Were they removed Yes No Do the following utilities pre-exist: Plumbing lines Gas lines Sewer lines Electrical communication lines
Site drainage lines Describe agricing utilities Gas intes Sewel lines Electrical communication lines
Site drainage lines Describe existing utilities Is there evidence of previous drainage ditches Yes No Filled in ponds or low spots Yes No Other areas which
have been altered by added fill or excavation Yes No Describe
\cdot $ -$
NATURAL DRAINAGE Where does the site drain to Will alterations to the site disturb the natural drainage Yes No Describe
Will alterations to the site disturb the natural drainage Yes No Describe
Can natural drainage be maintained during construction Yes No Describe
Does the surrounding property drain onto the site Yes \square No \square Describe
CONTROLLED DRAINAGE Where will the site drain to
CONTROLLED DRAINAGE Where will the site drain to
Is there a drainage plan relative to the site if so describe Yes \square No \square If no will there be a plan Yes \square No \square
Is there a drainage plan relative to the site if so describe Yes No I If no will there be a plan Yes No I Is the site in the flood plain Yes No Describe
Is there a drainage plan relative to the site if so describe Yes No I If no will there be a plan Yes No I Is the site in the flood plain Yes No Describe
Is there a drainage plan relative to the site if so describe Yes No I Is the site in the flood plain Yes No Describe
Is there a drainage plan relative to the site if so describe Yes No I If no will there be a plan Yes No I Is the site in the flood plain Yes No Describe
Is there a drainage plan relative to the site if so describe Yes NoIf no will there be a plan Yes No Is the site in the flood plain YesNo Describe Can positive drainage be maintained thru the construction process YesNo Describe Can storm water run off be properly managed YesNo What provisions have been made for proper control SURVEY
Is there a drainage plan relative to the site if so describe Yes No If no will there be a plan Yes No Is the site in the flood plain Yes No Describe Can positive drainage be maintained thru the construction process Yes No Describe Can storm water run off be properly managed Yes No What provisions have been made for proper control SURVEY Does a survey of the site exist Yes No Date Surveyor County Number
Is there a drainage plan relative to the site if so describe Yes No
Is there a drainage plan relative to the site if so describe Yes No I. If no will there be a plan Yes No Is the site in the flood plain Yes No Describe
Is there a drainage plan relative to the site if so describe Yes No I If no will there be a plan Yes No Is the site in the flood plain Yes No Describe
Is there a drainage plan relative to the site if so describe Yes No I. If no will there be a plan Yes No Is the site in the flood plain Yes No Describe

SKETCH

Attach a sketch of the site showing the placement of the house, the site drainage patterns and the location of the existing trees

CLIENT_

QUALITY CONTROL COMPANY

QC Checklist #9 – POST-CONSTRUCTION SITE REVIEW					
Builder	Subdivision		Date		
Site Address	Lot	Blk Sec	Plan site s	pecific Yes 🗌 No 🗌	
Architectural Plan #	Date	Architect/Designer Pho	one Number		
Site Survey Geotochnical Benert #	Date	Surveyor Phone Numb	er Dhana Number		
Foundation Plan #	Date	Design Engineer Phone	Phone Number		
Superintendent		Superintendent Phone	Number		
Plans provided at site $Yes \square No \square$		Permit #			
Check (✓) If Items Comply With The Plans (X) If Items Do Not Comply With The Plans					
SITE DESCRIPTION: ADDRESS Does the site have an address or legal only Yes No No Is it posted onsite Yes No Where					
GOVERNING AUTHORITY Municipality	<u> </u>				
GOVERNING AUTHORITY Municipality SUBDIVISION LOT Center lot ACREAGE LOT Describe size	Cul de sac	Corner lot	Zero lot line	Other 🗌	
LOT USAGE Single Family Residence	☐ Townhouse ☐ 1	Multi-Family 🗍 Other			
LOT USAGE Single Family Residence Townhouse Multi-Family Other					
FENCING Type					
LANDSCAPE FILL: Was fill necessary	to fine grade the yard	Yes 🗌 No 🗌 Average	e Height		
Type of fill: Native Soil 🗌 Select Str	uctural 🔲 Bank San	d 🗌 Bull Rock 🔲 Ö	ther		
For sloping lots does the fill extend bey	ond the house footpri	nt for min. of 5'-0" Ye	es 🗌 No 🗌		
Is the grading flatter than a 3 to 1 ratio, norizontal to vertical Yes No					
LANDSCAPING: Is the landscape plan completed Yes No If no describe what remains					
Do the flower beds drain Yes 🗋 No	If no describe				
Is an irrigation system installed Yes No I If yes has it been leak tested Yes No I Is the yard sodded Yes No Describe the areas that are not sodded					
Is the yard sodded Yes \square No \square Desc	ribe the areas that are	not sodded	. 11		
Are gravel borders installed around the Are they installed as part of a functional	toundation Yes I N	lo [] Does the gravel h	ave a impermeable	liner Yes No	
Are they installed as part of a functional	I French Drain system	n with a discharge away	from the foundation	n Yes \square No \square	
POOLS: Is there a pool Yes \square No \square	Describe	for the second sec	(h., C.,		
Is the pool deck drainage system design		• •			
If no describe the problem	a foundation	faat Degarika			
TREES ON SITE: Do troop progontly of	1000000000000000000000000000000000000	Describe			
If no describe the problem					
Tree Survey For Trees within 30					
Location Specie		Trunk Diameter		Estimated Height	
Location Specie	3			Estimated Height	
		-			
		-			
Describe the general health of the trees					
SURFACE DRAINAGE: Where does the site drain to					
Have alterations to the site changed the natural drainage Yes \square No \square Describe					
Does the site drainage overflow onto the	e adjacent property V	\square No \square Describe			
Does the surrounding property drain on	to the site $Ves \square No$	\square Describe			
Does the site drainage overflow onto the Does the surrounding property drain on CONTROLLED DRAINAGE: Where does the requirements for the drainage Is the site in the flood plain Yes Not Is there evidence that positive drainage to there evidence of drainage provision	es the drainage system	discharge	What governing	authority mandates	
the requirements for the drainage	Is there a drainage	pe plan relative to the si	$$ ite Yes \Box No \Box De	escribe	
Is the site in the flood plain $Yes \square Nc$	\square Unknown \square W	hat is the source of this	information		
Is there evidence that positive drainage	was not maintained th	rough the construction	process $Yes \square$	No 🗌 Unknown 🔲	
Is there evidence of drainage provisions	s for storm water run o	off to be properly mana	ged Yes \Box No \Box I	Explain	
Does the house have gutters $Yes \square Nc$	Describe	1 1 2	•	1	
Do the gutters divert water a minimum	of 5 ft. away from the	slab Yes \Box No \Box	Are splash blocks in	place Yes \square No \square	
Where does the A/C overflow drain	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Is valley ru	in off adequately dis	persed Yes \square No \square	
Where does the A/C overflow drain Other impediments to effective drainag	e		1 5		
FINAL SURVEY:					
Have conditions relative to the site surv	rey changed Y es	No Easements A	Aerial Easements	Setbacks Other	
What conditions at the site have change	ed since the form surv	ey Flatwork [] Fence		changes	
Are the iron rods flagged and rods clearly marked for the owner Yes \square No \square					
Does the final survey show elevations of the yard and the directions of the slope away from the slab $Yes \square$ No \square					
Is the slope from the slab least 6 inches and 10 feet Yes \square No \square List problem areas					
Does the final survey show elevation of the top of slab relative to mean sea level Yes \square No \square Has an elevation survey of the slab been completed. Yes \square No \square As per TRCC recommendations Yes \square No \square					
Has an elevation survey of the slab been completed Yes No As per TRCC recommendations Yes No Does maximum differential elevation measurements of the slab exceed 1 ½" Yes No Describe					
Is there minimum slab exposure of 6" a	round perimeter Vec	\square No \square	Describe		
is also minimum shub exposure of 0 d	a cana permiteter i es [

SKETCH *Attach a sketch of the site showing the placement of the house, the site drainage patterns and the location of the existing trees*